

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,662	09/30/2003	Truc Nguyen	66329/00008	5004
23380 TUCKER ELI	7590 12/05/200 JS & WEST LLP	8	EXAM	UNER
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING			HANG, VU B	
925 EUCLID CLEVELANT	AVENUE), OH 44115-1414		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com christopher.luoma@tuckerellis.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Art Unit Vu B. Hang (2) John X. Garred. (3)	Interview Summary	10/674,662	NGUYEN ET AL.
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) \(\frac{Vu B. Hang.}{2} \) (2) \(\frac{John X. Garred.}{2} \) (4) \(\frac{1}{2} \) Date of Interview: \(\frac{O2 December 2008.}{2} \) Type: \(a \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) Telephonic \(b \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) Video Conference \(c \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) Personal (copy given to: 1) \(\frac{1}{2} \) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: \(d \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) Yes \(e \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) No. If Yes, brief description: \(\frac{1}{2} \) Identification of prior art discussed: \(\frac{Hansen et al. \(US Patent 6.407.820 B1 \)) and \(Bhogal et al. \(US Patent 7.088. \) Agreement with respect to the claims \(f \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) was reached. \(g \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) was not reached. \(h \) \(\frac{1}{2} \) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \(\frac{1}{2} \) the proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. \(A new claim limitation concerning the printer lob language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail to with seature distinguishes or the clifed prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available. Rust to the proposed of the propo	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
(1) \(\frac{VU B. Hang}{\text{.}} \) (3)		Vu B. Hang	2625
(2) John X. Garred. (2) John X. Garred. (3) John X. Garred. (4) Date of Interview: 02 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference o) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Hansen et al. (US Patent 6.407.820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7.088, Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed out where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE [FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE LEAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE LEAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE LEAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
Date of Interview: 02 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Hansen et al. (US Patent 6.407.820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7.088. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer lob language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed ou where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the callowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.	(1) <u>Vu B. Hang</u> .	(3)	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Hansen et al. (US Patent 6.407.820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7.088. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature industries to the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the callowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, as ummary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LINGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIAITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE!	(2) <u>John X. Garred</u> .	(4)	
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Pes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Hansen et al. (US Patent 6.407.820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7.088. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed owhere this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature discussions to the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached or of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, and the properties of the second of the amendments which the properties of the second of the amendments which the properties of the second of the amendments which the saminer agreed would render the claims allowable is available, and the properties of the second of the amendments which the saminer agreed would render the claims allowable in the properties of the second of the amendments which the saminer agreed would render the claims allowable in the properties of the second of the amendments which the saminer agreed would render the	Date of Interview: <u>02 December 2008</u> .		
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Hansen et al. (US Patent 6.407.820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7.088. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed ou where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SATE, OR THE MILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICH LEVER IS LATE!	Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hansen et al. (US Patent 6.407.820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7.088.</u> Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's persentative pointed out where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHVER IS LATE! FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SEE Summary of Record of Interview</u>		e)⊠ No.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representations be obtained out where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the calimosable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICAD GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed ou where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. OATE, OR THE MILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE!	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hansen et al. (US F</u>	Patent 6,407,820 B1) and Bhogal	et al. (US Patent 7.088
reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed out where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes of the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICAN GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBST	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	l. g) was not reached. h) ⊠ N	N/A.
requirements on reverse size of on attached shoot.			s feature distinguishes
	the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where I allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attact THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	I be conducted once a formal resignation once a formal resignation of the amendments that victed.) EACTION MUST INCLUDE THE INCLUDE ON THE INCLUDE THE ONE MONTH OR THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	s feature distinguishes conse is received greed would render the would render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF TH V been filed, APPLICAN V DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATE
Wu B. Hand/	the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where i allowable is available, a summary thereof must be atta- THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERCIDO OF THE LONG INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	I be conducted once a formal resignation once a formal resignation of the amendments that victed.) EACTION MUST INCLUDE THE INCLUDE ON THE INCLUDE THE ONE MONTH OR THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	s feature distinguishes conse is received greed would render the would render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF TH V been filed, APPLICAN V DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATE